## STATE ADVISORY PANEL FOR EXCEPTIONAL CHILDREN

## January 21, 2016

## @ Quest Conference and Business Center

Agenda Item	Discussion/Recommendations	Next Steps
Call to Order	Bill Bauer, Chairperson, called the SAPEC meeting to order.	
Roll Call	Absent: Stephanie Barber-Maynard, Tina Evans, Jessica Foster, Valerie Leach, Michelle McCollister, Mary Murray, Trisha Prunty, Sandee Winkelman and Terri Woods.	
Introduction of Guests, Visitors and New Members	Visitors: Emily Haynes, Sue Boettler.	
Panel Business Approval of Nov. 5, 2015 Meeting Minutes	Minor corrections to the minutes on page 1 and page 3. Members unanimously approved of minutes with the changes.	Meeting minutes will be posted to the ODE website.
Public Comment	Sue Boettler who runs a parent support group in Canton for parents of students with dyslexia provided public comment that there is a need for changes in teacher training on dyslexia and reading instruction and the need for earlier intervention.	
Chairperson's Report	Staci Anderson – Membership Committee Two of the 4 Member-at-Large positions are open/vacant starting 2016-17 school year for a two year term. Members-at-Large serve on the SAPEC Executive Committee. If interested email Crystal Ginn by January 31 <sup>st</sup> and be prepared to discuss interest and qualifications at a future meeting.	Members interested in becoming a Member-at- Large email Crystal Ginn by January 31 <sup>st</sup> .
	Business Cards Business cards were handed out to the members that requested them. If you want cards and did not sign up for them, please contact Crystal Ginn.	

ODE Report	Ohio's Initiatives to Address the Special Education Drop Out Rate – Amy Szymanski, Secondary Transition Consultant, Ohio Department of Education (ODE)A student dropping out is not a sudden act, it is a gradual process of disengagement. It is important to identify why the students are disengaged and at-risk and address it early. The Institute for Education Science (IES) produced a Dropout Prevention Guide (http://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/PracticeGuide.aspx?sid=9) that makes 6 recommendations
	( <u>http://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/PracticeGuide.aspx?sid=9</u> ) that makes 6 recommendations for reducing dropouts: diagnostic-data system to identify high risk students; targeted interventions-assign adult advocates, provide academic support and enrichment, and

	Agenda Item	Discussion/Recommendations	Next Steps
--	-------------	----------------------------	------------

<b></b>	1
	implement programs to improve behavior and social skills; schoolwide interventionspersonalize the learning environment and instructional process and provide rigorous and relevant instruction. Ohio's initiatives are evidence-based, data-driven, integrated, intentional and aligned with the IES recommendations.
	Ohio has multiple initiatives to support reducing Ohio's dropout rate. Several examples were shared about initiatives focused to reduce dropout and of supports for postsecondary transition services occurring. Several highlights are listed below. For additional information review the PowerPoint presentation.
	<ul> <li>Student Success Dashboard - Diagnostic tool that looks at early warning indicators for drop-out</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Third Grade Reading Guarantee with focus on early identification and intervention for students struggling with reading.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Office for Exceptional Children's (OEC) State Systemic Improvement Plan is focused on early literacy,</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Innovative Strategies to Improve College and Career Readiness for Students with Disabilities-RFP for grant funds to design and implement innovative strategies to improve college and career readiness for students with disabilities.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Ohio Transition Support Partnership-Opportunities for Ohioans with Disabilities (OOD) and ODE/OEC partnership to expand vocational rehabilitation services to eligible students beginning at age 14, provides an opportunity for career development at a younger age and could lead to increased student engagement.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>EnvisionIT–Partnership with the Nisonger Center at Ohio State University to improve college and career readiness for students with high incidence disabilities in inclusive settings.</li> </ul>
	New Dropout Rate Targets – Kara Waldron At the November SAPEC meeting, we discussed the dropout rate (Indicator 2). Ohio's data for 2013-14 on dropout rate for students with disabilities is 22%. Ohio received a determination from the US Department of Education (USDOE) of needs assistance instead of meets requirements due in large part to Ohio's high dropout rate and low graduation rate for students with disabilities. ODE changed the calculation used to align with USDOE's calculation. Because of the calculation change, ODE must set new targets for the
	submission of the state plan in February. At the November meeting the Dropout Ad Hoc Committee workgroup developed two recommendations:

Agenda Item	Discussion/Recommendations	Next Steps

Option 1						_		
13-14 Data		Pro	posed Tar	gets				
	14-15	15-16	16-17	17-18	18-19			
22.0%	22.0%	22.0%	22.0%	22.0%	21.95%			
Option 2	ſ					-		
13-14 Data		Pro	posed Tar	gets				
	14-15	15-16	16-17	17-18	18-19	-		
22.0%	22.0%	21.9%	21.8%	21.7%	21.6%			
Justification as	•	-	-	•				
<ul> <li>New in 487), ca</li> <li>It will t</li> <li>Option new ba</li> <li>Option withou</li> </ul>	itiatives: i areer tech ake sever 1 reflects seline; 2 targets t targetec	n in middle al years fo s the likelik are rigoro d efforts di	uality of tr school; r new initi nood of ne ous in com ropout rat	ansition p atives to s eding to r parison to es will cor	olanning, si show impr revisit the o the trend ntinue to in	tudent success plans (HB rovement; targets next year due to l line, which suggests ncrease 1.78% per year.	The targets for (Indicator 2) 13-14 Data	will be: 22%
Panel as the sta	ate target	s for drop	out rate.	l for Optio	on 2. Optic	on 2 was selected by the	14-15 15-16	22% 21.9% 16-17 21.8%
2016; however change in how information sys were delayed a correct data. Th ability to comp will be found n impacted in the	Performar some Oh data are o stem infra ind there he late clo ile the dat oncomplia eir determ	nce Report io data wil collected f structure were mult osure of th ta. ODE is ant on tim hination fro	t is due to Il be late d rom distric (EMIS) at ( iple repor e reportin measured ely report om ODE.	ue to cha cts require DDE. Data ting winde g periods on timely ing. Howe	nges in EN ed a compl reporting ows for dis at the dist reporting ever, schoo	of Education on Feb. 1, /IS. A state legislated lete overhaul of g windows for districts stricts to submit and trict level impacts ODE's g and accuracy of data and ol districts will not be	17-18	21.7% 18-19 21.6%
		•				2015); Discipline (Nov 6); Preschool Outcomes		

(Feb 2016). Data we have now: Graduation Rate – Stayed the same; Least Restrictive	

|--|

Mediation Agreement Rate – Slippage.	
ESCA Even Student Success Act	
http://education.onio.gov/Topics/Every-Student-Succeeds-Act-ESSA.	
PBIS Showcase – Wendy Stoica	
Special Education Transportation - Jessica Dawso and Kyle Gallenstein	
The specific rule that pertains to special education transportation is Ohio Administrative	
Code 3301-51-10. Sometimes transportation is a related service for a student with a	
disability that would be specifically tailored to that individual student's needs above and	
beyond general education transportation. The IEP team determines whether	
transportation will be included as a related service on the IEP. The IEP team is required to	
consult with transportation personnel when considering transportation as a related	
service. Ohio Administrative Code 3301-51-10 also required that the IEP be accessible to	
teachers and related service professionals, which may include the child's bus driver.	
Ad Hoc Committee Groups	
The members broke into Ad Hoc Committee groups for discussion:	
Third Grade Reading Guarantee Exemption from Retention Guidance	
	The specific rule that pertains to special education transportation is Ohio Administrative Code 3301-51-10. Sometimes transportation is a related service for a student with a disability that would be specifically tailored to that individual student's needs above and beyond general education transportation. The IEP team determines whether transportation will be included as a related service on the IEP. The IEP team is required to consult with transportation personnel when considering transportation as a related service. Ohio Administrative Code 3301-51-10 also required that the IEP be accessible to teachers and related service professionals, which may include the child's bus driver. <i>Ad Hoc Committee Groups</i> The members broke into Ad Hoc Committee groups for discussion:

Agenda Item	Discussion/Recommendations	Next Steps
	<ul> <li>Dispute Resolution Pro-Se Parent Guidance</li> <li>OSEP Guidance on Grade Level Content Standards and Free Appropriate Public Education (FAPE)</li> </ul>	
<b>Committee Reports</b>	Ad Hoc Groups' Reports	
Standing Committee Reports	<ul> <li>Third Grade Reading Guarantee Exemption from Retention Guidance</li> <li>Recommended a cover memo to go with the decision tool otherwise it could be overwhelming and misinterpreted.</li> <li>Questions for the IEP team to use when making the determination</li> <li>Public service announcement</li> <li>Short video for families explaining documents that can be viewed before the IEP meeting.</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Dispute Resolution – Pro-Se Parent Guidance</li> <li>Video guidance</li> <li>Develop frequently asked questions resource</li> <li>Checklist that outlines alternative dispute resolution processes prior to due process.</li> <li>OSEP Guidance on Grade Level Content Standards and FAPE</li> <li>Look at each student and what they need including specially designed instruction.</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Keep higher expectations in mind when writing goals and objectives.</li> <li>Clarify how it fits with the academic content standards.</li> <li>Clarify or reword the section that focuses on students who receive a modified curriculum.</li> <li>Address nonacademic aspects not just academic.</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Better define alternate assessment and who receives it.</li> <li>Resources-consider creating several versions (i.e. for parents, general educators, special educators); a flowchart; and parent training materials.</li> <li>Communication – awareness training.</li> <li>What evidence of goals being implemented.</li> </ul>	

Learning Item	Autism – Sue Zake and Carly McVey, OCALI	
	Autism diagnosis has greatly increased since 2008 with over 17,000 students in Ohio identified. In Ohio, the data shows a majority of these students are in the regular	
	education classrooms 80% or more of their school day.	
	Autism Supports to Ohio Families and Districts. The Ohio Center for Autism and Low Incidence (OCALI), the primary support, is funded by the ODE and contracts with other state agencies. OCALI's website hosts resources and information with multiple centers	
Agenda Item	Discussion/Recommendations	Next Steps
	and areas of focus including: Autism, Universal Design for Learning, Families, Teaching Diverse Learners, Assistive Technology, Lifespan Transitions Center. These resources are available at <u>www.ocali.org</u> .	
	Autism Certification Center at OCALI – a collaborative with ODE and DODD. <u>https://autismcertificationcenter.org/</u> . Through a video based series called <i>Autism</i> <i>Spectrum Disorders (ASD) Strategies in Action</i> , individuals can complete online modules to earn professional development hours and a certificate. <i>ASD Strategies in Action</i> gives families and service providers tools to ensure they are equipped to effectively care for, support, educate, employ or work with individuals with autism from early childhood to young adulthood. This is a free resource to Ohio taxpayers.	
	After viewing the first video in the series SAPEC members commented that this is a valuable resource for educators and families that could be used as professional development for regular and special education teachers. Members were encouraged to share this resource in their communities.	
Emerging Issues/Unmet Needs	Cheryl Kleist presented a proposed emerging issue. She expressed concerns about inappropriate identification, late identification, late and inappropriate interventions for students with dyslexia. She proposed development of a handbook for school districts regarding identifying struggling readers and appropriate programs for students with dyslexia and basic dyslexia training for teachers and school administrators.	Executive Committee discussed the submission. OEC will be bringing information about the Dyslexia Pilot Project outcomes and then discuss next steps.
Agency Reports	Ohio Association of County Boards of Developmental Disabilities The Ohio Department of Health and the Ohio Department of Developmental Disabilities (DODD) sent out notice that early intervention services will now be under DODD and transition over the next several months. County Boards of Developmental Disabilities (DD) continue to plan and implement transitions to come into compliance with CMS rating that	

	says there should be conflict-free case management. This means adult day services provided directly by County Boards of DD will need to be privatized by 2024.	
Member Announcements	None.	
Future Agenda Considerations	An update was requested on the unmet need of shortage of service providers. ODE shared that the Ohio Education Service Center (ESC) Association developed a survey for districts and is collecting responses. ODE will share the outcomes once received.	
Adjourn	Laryssa Beatty motioned to adjourn, seconded by Tony Cochren. Meeting adjourned.	Next meeting is March 17, 2016.