

Internet- or Computer-Based Community School Application Rubric

Application Submi	ssion Date: <u>January 11, 2019</u>	
Applicant Name: _	Ohio Digital Learning School	
Sponsor Name:	Ohio Council of Community Schools	Sponsor IRN: <u>016998</u>
Operator Name:	K12 Virtual Schools LLC	Operator IRN: <u>014982</u>

The internet- or computer-based community school application consists of five sections: Proposed School Information, Sponsor's Experience with Online Schools, Operator's Experience with Online Schools, Sponsor's Schools' Records of Academic Performance in all years under its sponsorship, and Operator's Affiliated Schools' Records of Performance in all years under its operation. A sixth component, Preference for Operators with Previous Experience in Ohio, is not scored and will only be considered if the Department receives more than five qualified applications for internet- or computer-based community schools in Ohio. A committee will review all documentation and determine whether to grant approval of the internet- or computer-based community school.

Section A: Proposed School Information

Review Criteria	Not Addressed	Poorly Developed	Adequately Developed	Well Developed	Fully Developed
The application described the proposed internet- or computer-based community school, including:					
 The reasons the applicant believes Ohio would benefit from an additional internet- or computer-based community school The community and student population (demographics, ages, and grade levels) school intends to serve The enrollment area (specific counties in Ohio) 	0	1	2	3	4

•	The education plan and curriculum intended
	for each core content area and grade level
	that complies with the standards developed by
	the international association for K-12 online
	learning

- The expectations of academic performance, including academic achievement status or proficiency, academic growth, post-secondary readiness, and expectations for missionspecific performance measures
- The expectations of any non-academic school or student goals to which school will hold itself accountable

Total Points Earned

4/4

Comments:

Response addressed all components of section and included discussion on alignment of curriculum to meet standards of online schools.

Section B: Sponsor's Experience with Online Schools

Review Criteria		At least 5 Years	6-7 Years	8-9 Years	10 or more Years
Number of years sponsor has overseeing community schools in Ohio		1	2	3	4
Review Criteria		At least 3 Years	4-5 Years	6-7 Years	8 or more Years
Number of years sponsor has overseeing internet- or computer-based community schools in Ohio		1	2	3	4
Review Criteria	Not Addressed	Poorly Developed	Adequately Developed	Well Developed	Fully Developed



The application described the sponsor's experience with internet- or computer-based schools, including:					
 The number of internet- or computer-based community schools the sponsor has overseen The number of years the sponsor has providing monitoring and technical assistance to the schools 	0	1	2	3	4
The application described the sponsor's assessment of the degree to which each of its sponsored internet-or computer-based school is meeting the operating standards for online schools, and complying with all applicable laws and rules.					
AND	0	1	2	(3)	4
If applicable, the application described specific steps taken by the sponsor to correct each internet- or computer-based school's compliance with applicable laws and rules in the two most recent evaluation cycles.					
The application described instances where sponsor terminated or nonrenewed sponsorship with an internet- or computer-based community school, including:					
 Circumstances leading to the decision to terminate sponsorship for each internet- or computer-based school Specific actions taken by the sponsor and timeline for each action 	0	1	2	3	4
OR					
If sponsor had not had to terminate or non-renew sponsorship with an internet- or computer-based school, application described:					
 Circumstances that would lead the sponsor to the decision to terminate sponsorship 					



 Specific actions the sponsor will take including timeline for each action 					
		Total F	Points Earned	17/20	

Comments:

Response indicated practices would have scored in accomplished or promising, but did not provide concrete examples on degree to which each of its sponsored internet- or computer-based schools are meeting operating standards for online schools. Response on sponsor actions and timeline could be more specific including a clearer, more detailed timeline of sponsor actions.

Section C: Operator's Experience with Online Schools

Review Criteria		At least 5 Years	6-7 Years	8-9 Years	10 or more Years
Number of years of operator's experience with community/public charter schools		1	2	3	4
Review Criteria		At least 3 Years	4-5 Years	6-7 Years	8 or more Years
Number of years operator has managing internet- or computer-based community/public charter schools		1	2	3	4
Review Criteria	Not Addressed	Poorly Developed	Adequately Developed	Well Developed	Fully Developed
The application described the operator's experience with internet- or computer-based community/public charter schools in the state of Ohio and outside the state of Ohio.	0	1	2	3	4
The application described operator's assessment of the degree to which each of the operator's affiliated internet- or computer-based school is meeting the operating standards for online schools	0	1	2	3	4
The application addressed instances where the operator terminated its agreement with each internet-or computer-based community school, including	0	1	2	3	4



- Circumstances leading to the decision to terminate its agreement with each internet- or computer-based school
- Specific actions taken by operator and timeline for each action

OR

If operator had not had to terminate its agreement with an internet- or computer-based school, the application described:

- Circumstances that would lead the operator to the decision to terminate its agreement with the internet- or computer-based school
- Specific actions the operator will take including timeline for each action

Total Points Earned

18/20

Comments:

Response did not provide specific examples of degree to which each of the operator's affiliated internet- or computer-based school is meeting the operating standards for online schools. Response did include examples of instances in which operator would terminate agreement with an internet-or computer-based school.

Section D: Sponsor's Schools' Records of Academic Performance

The Department will be assigning a composite weighted score based on the cumulative performance of all schools overseen by the sponsor from academic years 2002-2003 and beyond. From academic years 2002-2003 through 2011-2012, the total points will be weighed at 50%. For academic years 2012-2013 and beyond, the total points will be weighed at 50%. The weighted points will be converted to points earned from 0 to 4. The score will then be converted to points from 0 to 20, which will be applied towards the total section points.

Weighted Points	Points Earned for Academic Performance Component	Section Points
3.50 - 4.00	4	20
2.50 - 3.49	3	15



1.50 – 2.49	2	10
0.50 - 1.49	1	5
0.00 - 0.49	0	0

Review Criteria	Points Earned 0	Points Earned 1	Points Earned 2	Points Earned 3	Points Earned 4
Academic Years: 2002-2003 through 2016-2017	0	5	10	15	20
			Total F	Points Earned	5/20

Comments:

OCCS was not the sponsor of community schools in Ohio prior to the 2017-2018 school year. For the years prior to the 2017-2018 school year, OCCS was the designee of a sponsor and did not receive a sponsor evaluation rating. For this reason, the Department evaluated data for the 2017-2018 school year. For schools overseen by the sponsor during the 2017-2018 school year, the raw score earned was 1.2340, which was rounded to 1. The total score earned for this section was 1, or 5 points for this section of the application. NOTE: The raw score would still be rounded to 1 even if the data from the years before the 2017-2018 school year were included.

Section E: Operator's Affiliated Schools' Records of Academic Performance

The Department will be assigning a composite weighted score based on the cumulative performance of all schools managed by the operator from academic years 2002-2003 and beyond. The weighted points will be converted to points earned from 0 to 4. The score will then be converted to points from 0 to 20, which will be applied towards the total section points.

Weighted Points	Points Earned for Academic Performance Component	Section Points
3.50 - 4.00	4	20
2.50 - 3.49	3	15
1.50 – 2.49	2	10
0.50 - 1.49	1	5
0.00 - 0.49	0	0



Operator Managing Schools in the State of Ohio Only:

If the operator only managed schools within the state of Ohio, the Department will be assigning percentage weights to the operator's affiliated schools' records of academic performance in all years under its operation based on the following. For academic years 2002-2003 through 2011-2012, the total points will be weighed at 50%. For academic years 2012-2013 and beyond, the total points will be weighed at 50%.

Operator Managing Schools Both in the State of Ohio and Outside the State of Ohio:

If the operator managed schools both in the state of Ohio and outside of the state of Ohio, the Department will be assigning percentage weights to the operator's affiliated schools' records of academic performance in all years under its operation based on the following. For all schools within the state of Ohio from academic years 2002-2003 through 2011-2012, the total points will be weighed at 40%. For all schools within the state of Ohio from academic years 2012-2013 and beyond, the total points will be weighed at 40%. For all schools outside the state of Ohio from academic years 2002-2003 and beyond, the total points will be weighed at 20%.

Review Criteria	Points Earned 0	Points Earned	Points Earned 2	Points Earned 3	Points Earned 4
Academic Years: 2002-2003 through 2016-2017	0	5	10	15	20
Total Points Earned			5/20		

Comments:

For schools managed by the operator within the state of Ohio from 2003-2012, the raw score earned was 2.2222, which was rounded to 2. For school managed by the operator within the state of Ohio from 2013-2018, the raw score earned was 0.5455, which was rounded to 1. For schools managed by the operator outside the state of Ohio, from all years under its operation, the raw score was 1.2959, which was rounded to 1. The total score after applying the weights is 1.3662, which was rounded to 1, or 5 points for this section of the application.

Section F: Alignment to iNACOL National Standards for Quality Online Programs

The Alignment to iNACOL Standards for Quality Online Programs section examines whether the proposed internet- or computer-based community school meets the standards developed by the International Association for K-12 Online Learning for operating a quality online program.

The applicant, sponsor, and operator must work collaboratively to complete the Online Program Self-Evaluation Form on pages 22 through 32 of the iNACOL National Standards for Online Programs <u>report</u>. All three entities must come to a consensus and assign



the proposed school a single rating for each standard based on the rating scale in the report. Please attach a copy of the completed Online Program Self-Evaluation Form to this application. The Department will use the assigned ratings to score the application based on the following:

Points	Criteria
Fully Developed 4 Points	This part of the application demonstrates a model of best practice. This part of the application scored between 90% and 100% of the total possible points .
Well Developed 3 Points	This part of the application demonstrates excellent implementation, comparable to other examples. This part of the application scored 80% or more of the total points, but less than 90% of the total possible points .
Adequately Developed 2 Points	This part of the application demonstrates good implementation, but somewhat lacked depth or detail. This part of the application scored 70% or more of the total points , but less than 80% of the total possible points .
Poorly Developed 1 Point	This part of the application demonstrates partial implementation, but additional work is needed. This part of the application scored less than 70% of the total possible points .
Not Addressed 0 Points	The application does not demonstrate a model of best practice or this part of the application does not address the standards for quality online programs.

Review Criteria	Not Addressed	Poorly Developed	Adequately Developed	Well Developed	Fully Developed
Institutional Standards: The institutional standards address the vision, mission, philosophy and beliefs of an online program, and the elements critical to the operation of an online program. The standards examine:					
 Mission statement Governance Leadership Planning Organizational Staffing Organizational Commitment Financial and Material Resources Equity and Access Integrity and Accountability 	0	1	2	3	4
Teaching and Learning Standards: The teaching and learning standards focus on how an online program develops or chooses its curricula, how the	0	1	2	3	4



program's teachers deliver the curriculum to students, and how students' progress in the curriculum is assessed. These standards examine:					
 Curriculum and Course Design Instruction Assessment of Student Performance 					
Support Standards: The support standards address the organization's academic, administrative, guidance, and technical services critical to meeting the needs of all participants in the online program. These standards examine: • Faculty • Students • Guidance Services • Organizational Support • Parents/guardians	0	1	2	3	4
Evaluation Standards: The evaluation standards examine the continual program improvement efforts including ensuring the program is meeting its intended purposes and identifying where improvements can be made to the program. These standards examine: • Program Evaluation • Program Improvement	0	1	2	3	4
3.5			Total F	Points Earned	16 /16

Comments:

For Institutional Standards, applicant scored 94.1% of total points, which earned applicant 4 points. For Teaching and Learning Standards, applicant scored 100% of total points, which earned applicant 4 points. For Support Standards, applicant scored 100% of total points, which earned applicant 4 points. For Evaluation Standards, applicant scored 100% of total points, which earned applicant 4 points.

Section G: Preference for Operators with Previous Experience in Ohio (Not Scored)



The Preference for Operators with Previous Experience in Ohio section is not scored and will only be considered if the Department receives more than five qualified applications for internet- or computer-based community schools in Ohio. If there are more qualified applications than the Department can approve, the Department will consider the total years of experience the operator has managing community schools in Ohio.



Internet- and Computer-Based Community School Scoring Sheet

Applica	nt Submission Date: <u>January 11, 2019</u>		
Applica	nt Name: Ohio Digital Learning School		
Sponso	r Name: _Ohio Council of Community Schools_	_ Sponsor IRN:	016998
Operato	or Name:K12 Virtual Schools LLC	_ Operator IRN:	014982
REQUIR	RED RESPONSES AND DOCUMENTS		(Not Scored)
	Applicant Information		
	Sponsor Information		
	Operator Information		
	Preference for Operators with Previous Experience	e in Ohio	

APPLICATION RESPONSES

Application Component	Points Earned	Points Possible
Section A: Proposed School Information	4	4
Section B: Sponsor's Experience with Community and Internet- or Computer-Based Community School(s)	17	20
Section C: Operator's Experience with Community/Public Charter and Internet- or Computer-Based Community School(s)	18	20
Section D: Sponsor's Schools' Records of Academic Performance	5	20
Section E: Operator's Affiliated Schools' Records of Academic Performance	5	20
Section F: Alignment to iNACOL National Standards for Quality Online Programs	16	16
Section G: Preference for Operators with Previous Experience in Ohio		
Total Points	65	/100

^{*}The Department will determine annually the minimum threshold of total points earned to be recommended for approval.

RECOMMENDATION:			
<u>X</u>	_ Approved	Rejected	